

SCORING CRITERIA FOR HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE GRANT PROGRAM HD24 CYCLE FY 2015–16

Responses will be reviewed and scored on eligibility, construction readiness, need, accuracy, clarity, completeness, supportiveness, and reasonableness. Maximum points for each section is displayed. Minimum passing score is 35 points. Maximum score is 50 points.

GENERAL REVIEW CRITERIA (up to 45 points)

Possible Points	Description
8	Project Description and Readiness <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describe the proposed project. Describe the phase and elements already completed that ensure the project is construction ready (i.e., plans, permits, approvals, conditions, etc.). Describe the remaining elements needed for construction to start, if awarded. Describe the active involvement of cooperating and participating governmental agencies, businesses, and organizations assisting to complete the proposed project.
15	Need <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describe the existing HHW program and the program history. Include the materials accepted. Describe the gaps and barriers that explain why the project is needed. Describe and quantify the project goals and objectives and how they will address the gap and barrier needs. Include the current volume collected and number of households served. Explain the project rationale compared to alternative approaches and previous efforts to address your HHW needs. List and describe your past HHW grant funded projects and why there is a need for additional grant funding.
12	Work Plan <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify the major activities, milestones, and tasks in a logical timeframe and demonstrate how they are achievable. Describe staff resources, expertise, and experience that will contribute to the success of this project. Describe the measureable components that will ensure the project is completed within the grant term. Describe the evaluation process that will ensure the project goals and objectives are met.

10	Budget <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Estimate the total funding for the project, including CalRecycle’s contribution, and describe your funding capabilities. List and describe other funding resources, in-kind services, grants, etc. contributing to the project. Identify the major cost elements and explain how they are fiscally efficient and responsible. Explain how the project will be sustained beyond the grant term.
45	TOTAL POSSIBLE GENERAL REVIEW CRITERIA POINTS
Bonus Points (up to 5 points)	
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant did not receive an HHW Grant during the FY 2013–14 (HD21) grant cycle for HHW facility construction or expansion.
3	A construction project that establishes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A new HHW program for rural areas (county having a population of 200,000 or less) A new HHW program for small cities (population of less than 35,000) Regional HHW programs (joint proposal from two or more eligible applicants)
5	TOTAL POSSIBLE BONUS POINTS
50	TOTAL POSSIBLE SCORE (Total of General Review Criteria plus Bonus Points)